

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problems Mailbox.**

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau


## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>5</sup> :  C12Q 1/68	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 90/07582  (43) International Publication Date: 12 July 1990 (12.07.90)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US90/00089 (22) International Filing Date: 4 January 1990 (04.01.90) (30) Priority data: 293,893                      5 January 1989 (05.01.89)            US (71) Applicant: SISKI DIAGNOSTICS, INC. [US/US]; 10280 North Torrey Pines Road, Suite 270, La Jolla, CA 92037 (US). (72) Inventors: GHOSH, Soumitra, Shankar ; 9501 Genesee Avenue, San Diego, CA 92121 (US). FAHY, Eoin, David ; 310 Playa Del Sur, ,1, La Jolla, CA 92037 (US). (74) Agents: WATT, Phillip, H. et al.; Fitch, Even, Tabin & Flannery, Room 900, 135 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, IL 60603 (US).		(81) Designated States: AT (European patent), AU, BE (Euro- pean patent), BR, CA, CH (European patent), DE (Euro- pean patent), DK (European patent), ES (European patent), FI, FR (European patent), GB (European pa- tent), HU, IT (European patent), JP, KR, LU (European patent), NL (European patent), NO, SE (European pa- tent), SU.  Published <i>With international search report.          Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the          claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of          amendments.</i>


(54) Title: END-ATTACHMENT OF OLIGONUCLEOTIDES TO POLYACRYLAMIDE SOLID SUPPORTS FOR CAPTURE AND DETECTION OF NUCLEIC ACIDS

## (57) Abstract


This invention concerns methods and means for covalent attachment of oligonucleotides to solid supports substantially at their 5'-ends. According to the invention thio-oligonucleotides are attached to bromoacetyl-derivatized polyacrylamide supports, or conversely, bromoacetyl-oligonucleotides are immobilized on thiol-polyacrylamide supports. In a further aspect, this invention relates to bromoacetyl-oligonucleotides that may be immobilized on thiol-polyacrylamide solid supports, thiol-oligonucleotides immobilized on bromoacetyl-derivatized polyacrylamide supports as well as to methods for capture of nucleic acids by oligonucleotides attached to polyacrylamide solid supports, either by direct capture or in sandwich hybridization formats.



2. TRIS pH 8.5



2. OLIGO-SH



***FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY***

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar
AU	Australia	FI	Finland	ML	Mali
BB	Barbados	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
BE	Belgium	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BF	Burkina Faso	GB	United Kingdom	NL	Netherlands
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	SD	Sudan
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SN	Senegal
CG	Congo	LJ	Liechtenstein	SU	Soviet Union
CH	Switzerland	LK	Sri Lanka	TD	Chad
CM	Cameroon	LU	Luxembourg	TG	Togo
DE	Germany, Federal Republic of	MC	Monaco	US	United States of America
DK	Denmark				

END-ATTACHMENT OF OLIGONUCLEOTIDES TO POLYACRYLAMIDE  
SOLID SUPPORTS FOR CAPTURE  
AND DETECTION OF NUCLEIC ACIDS

Field of the Invention

The present invention generally relates to certain developments in the chemistry of solid supports for the attachment of oligonucleotides.

5           More particularly, the present invention is directed to solid supports containing oligonucleotides in end-attachment, for capture and detection of nucleic acids, including single- and double-stranded DNA and RNA targets.

10           This invention further concerns methods and means for covalent attachment of oligonucleotides to solid supports substantially at their 5'-ends. According to the invention thiol-oligonucleotides are attached to bromoacetyl-derivatized polyacrylamide supports, or  
15           conversely, bromoacetyl-oligonucleotides are immobilized on thiol-polyacrylamide supports.

          In a further aspect, this invention relates to bromoacetyl-oligonucleotides that may be immobilized on thiol-polyacrylamide solid supports, thiol-  
20           oligonucleotides immobilized on bromoacetyl-derivatized polyacrylamide supports as well as to methods for capture of nucleic acids by oligonucleotides attached to polyacrylamide solid supports, either by direct capture or in sandwich hybridization formats.

25

Background of the Invention

          It is often desirable to detect very small amounts of extracted or in vitro amplified nucleic acids, for example in biological samples. According to the most  
30           common approach, the target nucleic acid is hybridized to

an oligonucleotide. In order to obtain a detectable signal, proportionate to the amount of the target, either the target nucleic acid or the oligonucleotide needs to be associated with a signal generating reporter element, such as a radioactive atom or a chromogenic molecule, or, an enzyme such as alkaline phosphatase. The signal generated by a properly hybridized nucleic acid can be detected and measured by methods known in the art. Many of the commonly used techniques of molecular biology require the immobilization of the targets on solid supports, to enable fractionation and identification of specific sequences. The target nucleic acid may be captured by oligonucleotides immobilized on solid supports, or more frequently, so-called "sandwich" hybridization systems are employed, using a capture oligonucleotide covalently attached to a solid support for capturing detection oligonucleotide-target nucleic acid adducts formed in solution. Typical solid supports are, for example, nitrocellulose or nylon membranes, activated agarose supports or diazotized cellulose supports. However, the bonds between these supports and the oligonucleotides are either not covalent, thereby allowing a certain release of the oligonucleotides from the support, or the supports have other shortcomings. For example, N-hydroxysuccinimide or cyanogen bromide activated polysaccharide affinity supports have a serious drawback in the leakage of ligands. This not only leads to misleading results but, even more importantly, poses health hazards when immunoaffinity-purified products produced by recombinant DNA synthesis are complexed with mouse monoclonal antibodies [see e.g. Wilchek et al., Biochemistry **26**, 2155 (1987) and Wilchek et al. PNAS **72**, 1055 (1975)]. Leakage from solid support obviously interferes with affinity purification: if the free ligand that leaks from the support is more effective as a

binder than the insolubilized ligand, the free ligand will bind the target macromolecule essentially irreversibly, and prevent affinity adsorption to the column. Further, cyanogen bromide activation of polysaccharide supports leads to the formation of N-substituted isoureas on the surface of the matrix. These confer undesirable ion exchange properties to the support, which become problematic in affinity chromatography, when analytes (such as nucleic acids) are present in very minute concentrations.

The attachment of oligonucleotides containing an aldehyde or carboxylic acid group at the 5'-terminus to non-porous polystyrene latex solid microspheres is disclosed in Kremsky et al., Nucleic Acids Research 15, 2891 (1987). Although this method provides good end-attachment results, it is disadvantageous in that at the end of the coupling reaction, non-covalently bound oligonucleotide requires removal by a tedious gel electrophoresis step.

Therefore, solid supports with cross-linked, porous polymeric matrix structures that are able to capture and covalently bind oligonucleotides are preferred. For example Sephacryl beads are widely used due to their excellent hybridization properties.

A so called "bead-based sandwich hybridization system" (BBSHS) is, for example, described in the following publication : EP 276, 302.

According to this method, in a first step, a target nucleic acid and an oligonucleotide probe used for its detection, which is complementary to at least a region of the target, are hybridized. The obtained adduct is then captured by a second oligonucleotide, that is complementary to a different region of the target, and is end-attached to a solid support. The amount of the detection oligonucleotide

associated with the solid support is directly related to the amount of the target captured. In this way, the BBSHS can be used to determine the amount of a single-stranded nucleic acid in a sample. In this and similar assays most commonly radioactively (e.g.,  $^{32}\text{P}$ ) labeled cloned DNAs or synthetic oligonucleotides are employed.  $^{32}\text{P}$ -labeled oligonucleotide probes used in conjunction with Sephacryl beads in BBSHS experiments provide about 10:1 or better signal to noise ratios with target sequences present in about 0.5 fmole amounts.

In practice, non-radioisotopic reporter systems are often preferred, primarily due to the inconveniences associated with handling, storage and disposal of radioisotopes. Successful application of a non-radioisotopic reporter system requires a detection system which exhibits high sensitivities and low background properties when used in conjunction with the reporter system on a given solid support. The Sephacryl beads supports show serious limitations when used in conjunction with non-radioisotopic, e.g. colorimetric, detection systems. For example, the colorimetric signal from enzyme-oligonucleotide conjugates in sandwich formats and direct capture experiments on Sephacryl beads was compromised by undesirable background, thereby giving low signal to noise ratios. In the presence of target, the non-specific background can be a result of:

- 1) hybridization of the detection and capture oligonucleotide to non-exact sequences of the target nucleic acid;
- 2) hybridization of the detection oligonucleotide to the capture oligonucleotide;
- 3) non-specific attachment of the detection oligonucleotide to the bead support or walls of the reaction vessel.

While the first two of these possible causes can be minimized by sufficiently stringent solution hybridization, capture and wash conditions, the reasons for non-specific binding properties are poorly understood.

It would be desirable to find solid supports that have better binding properties, e.g. on which the non-specific attachment of the oligonucleotides used for detection of the target nucleic acids is lower and which show a greater capture potential of the immobilized probe, especially when used in conjunction with non-radioisotopic detection systems.

The properties most sought for in solid supports used for detection of nucleic acids are:

- hydrophilicity
- ease of handling, such as compatibility with centrifugation techniques
- the presence of suitable functional groups
- low non-specific binding of the detection oligonucleotides.

In search for new solid supports, attention focused on polyacrylamide-based matrices. These supports are commercially available in a wide range of pore sizes, and are used routinely, for example, in affinity chromatography. Their hydrophilicity, lack of charged residues on their surface, and ease of derivatization are some of the properties which make them potentially attractive as supports for the attachment of oligonucleotides. Chemical derivatization of these cross-linked polyacrylamide beads for use in affinity chromatography provided spaced-out functional groups for attaching specific ligands in orientations favorable for specific binding with various macromolecules, thereby enabling the selective retention of these macromolecules, e.g., proteins [Inman, J.K., Meth. Enzymol. 34:30



(1974)]. Inman presented a convenient method for the preparation of hydrazide derivatives of cross-linked polyacrylamides by reacting their primary amide groups with hydrazide. Depending on the reaction conditions, hydrazide derivatives with different levels of hydrazide functionality were obtained. The hydrazide derivatives are suitable starting materials for the preparation of other derivatives. For example, bromoacetyl-derivatized polyacrylamide matrices can be obtained by reacting the hydrazide derivatives with N-hydroxysuccinimide ester of bromoacetic acid, on the analogy of the reaction described by Bernatowicz et al., Anal. Biochem. **155**, 95 (1986).

Our further goal was to develop a methodology for the attachment of oligonucleotides to solid supports by which the nucleic acids are tethered to the solid supports by their 5'-ends. In case of the conventionally used solid supports, e.g. Sephacryl beads the end-attachment is relatively low (about 50-55%; Ghosh et al., Nucl. Acids Res. **15**:5353; (1987)). A higher degree of end-attachment would be manifested in greater capture potential of the immobilized oligonucleotide probe.

As an object of the present invention, it has been found that thiol and bromoacetyl groups of suitably derivatized oligonucleotides and polyacrylamide solid supports react with reasonable yields and their reaction, surprisingly, results in an almost 100% end-attachment of the oligonucleotides. Accordingly, thiol-derivatized oligonucleotides were used in combination with bromoacetyl-derivatized polyacrylamide solid supports. Thiol-oligonucleotides can, for example, be prepared as described by Peng Li et al., Nucl. Acids Res. **15**, 5275 (1987) or Orgel et al., Nucl. Acids Res. **14**, 6513 (1986).

Alternatively, bromoacetyl-oligonucleotides were attached to thiol-derivatized polyacrylamide supports. Bromoacetyl-derivatized oligonucleotides are new compounds. The thiol-derivatized polyacrylamide supports are known in the art, and are, for example disclosed in Meth. Enzymol. 34:30 (1974). The known thiol-affinity supports were prepared by the coupling of carboxyl groups with cystamine, followed by reduction with excess DTT and not via hydrazide derivatives as in the process of the present invention.

#### Summary of the Invention

The present invention relates to oligonucleotides immobilized on solid supports and to new methods for the attachment of oligonucleotides to solid supports.

More particularly, this invention relates to oligonucleotides immobilized on polyacrylamide solid supports and processes for coupling said oligonucleotides to said polyacrylamide supports. By developing a new methodology for the immobilization of oligonucleotides on polyacrylamide matrices, our primary goals were to investigate the influence of pore sizes on the hybridization properties of immobilized oligonucleotides, and to increase the coupling efficiency, particularly, to increase the percentage of oligonucleotides that are attached to the solid support by their 5'-termini. As hereinabove described, the experiments were performed with two essential systems. Either thiol-derivatized oligonucleotides were attached to bromoacetyl-derivatized solid supports or bromoacetyl oligonucleotides were coupled with thiol-derivatized polyacrylamide solid supports. Although coupling efficiencies varied considerably depending on the actual format used, we have surprisingly found that in both

formats essentially all (more than 95%) oligonucleotides were end-attached to the polyacrylamide solid supports. This was reflected in the superior direct capture ability of the oligonucleotides immobilized according to the present invention for complementary oligonucleotides and double stranded DNAs. Polyacrylamide supports with very high (about  $2 \times 10^7$  daltons) exclusion limits performed particularly well.

In another aspect of the invention, we have found that non-specific adsorption of the negatively charged nucleic acids can be considerably reduced by converting the residual functionalities of solid supports (that do not participate in the coupling reaction) into other functionalities having anionic properties, e.g. carboxylic or trinitrophenyl groups. The obtained supports with mixed functionalities are particularly preferred for practicing the present invention.

Accordingly, the present invention relates to polyacrylamide supports having covalently attached thereto oligonucleotides substantially at their 5'-ends. In another aspect, the present invention is directed to a process for coupling thiol-derivatized oligonucleotides to polyacrylamide supports substantially at their 5'-ends, the primary amide groups of said supports being at least partially converted into bromoacetyl groups prior to end-attachment.

According to another aspect, the invention relates to a process for coupling bromoacetyl-derivatized oligonucleotides to polyacrylamide supports substantially at their 5'-ends, the primary amide groups of said supports being at least partially converted into thiol groups prior to end-attachment.

Following either coupling strategy, the invention includes further derivatization of the polyacrylamide supports by converting their

functionalities not involved in coupling into anionic functional groups to provide better non-specific adsorption results.

According to a further aspect, there are provided oligonucleotides derivatized at their 5'-termini with bromoacetyl groups.

According to a still further aspect, the invention concerns bromoacetyl-derivatized oligonucleotides immobilized on thiol-derivatized polyacrylamide solid supports, or conversely, thiol-derivatized oligonucleotides immobilized on bromoacetyl-derivatized polyacrylamide solid supports.

The present invention is directed to the above aspects and all associated methods and means for accomplishing such. For example,

methods for preparation and purification of the detection and capture oligonucleotides, including synthesis or isolation from a natural source via restriction cleavage and subsequent purification;

preparation of oligonucleotide-signal element (e.g., radioactive atom, enzyme, fluorescent or chemiluminescent probes, mercury-based detectors, etc.) adducts for use in hybridization with the target nucleic acids;

hybridization techniques for hybridizing the target nucleic acid to the detection (and capture) oligonucleotide;

and so forth, are within the scope of this invention.

30

#### Brief Description of the Drawings

Figure 1 illustrates the synthesis of bromoacetyl- (Reaction A) and sulfhydryl-containing (Reaction B) Biogel polyacrylamide supports.

Figure 2 shows the synthetic route of thiol-oligonucleotides and their coupling to bromoacetyl-derivatized Biogel polyacrylamide supports. (The residual hydrazide groups are converted to carboxyl groups to lower non-specific binding.)

Figure 3 is a reaction chart of the synthesis of bromoacetyl oligonucleotides.

Figure 4 shows the acylation of thiol-derivatized Biogel polyacrylamide supports and the use of the polyacrylamide matrices with mixed functionalities in coupling with bromoacetyl oligonucleotides.

Figure 5 is a synthetic scheme for the preparation of Trisacryl-SH supports.

Figure 6 shows the acylation of thiol-derivatized Trisacryl supports and the use of the products with mixed functionalities in capturing bromoacetyl oligonucleotides.

## Detailed Description of the Invention

### 1. Definitions and general methods

The term "oligonucleotide" as used throughout the specification and the claims refers to nucleic acids including both single and double stranded RNA and DNA molecules that may be isolated from a natural source, may be synthesized or produced by restriction digest.

By the term "detection oligonucleotide" or grammatical variations thereof is meant a nucleic acid (RNA or DNA) sequence (isolated from a natural source, synthetically produced or a product of restriction digest carrying a reporter label) that has sufficient homology with a target nucleic acid sequence such that under suitable conditions it is capable of hybridizing with said target sequence.

The term "capture oligonucleotide" specifically refers to a nucleic acid (RNA or DNA) sequence (isolated from a natural source or synthetically produced or a product of restriction digest) that is attached to a solid support, preferably substantially at its 5'-end, and that has sufficient homology with a target nucleic acid sequence (different from the sequence hybridized to the detection oligonucleotide) such that under suitable conditions it is capable of hybridizing with said target sequence.

Typical detection and capture oligonucleotides are about 12 to 200 nucleotides, preferably about 15 to 40 nucleotides in length, and usually share at least about 12 bp, preferably about 25 bp complementarity with the target nucleic acid sequence.

"Polyacrylamide supports" are cross-linked polyacrylamide matrices that are commercially available in a wide range of pore sizes. Typical representatives of such matrices are "Biogel" beads, manufactured by Bio-Rad (USA) that are further categorized according to their exclusion volumes. The molecular weight exclusion limits of Biogel P-2, Biogel P-10, Biogel P-60 and Biogel P-200 are 2000, 10000, 60000 and 200000 daltons, respectively. Although our experiments were predominantly carried out with Biogel beads, other polyacrylamide supports, including those in which certain groups, e.g. the amide groups are substituted, may also be used for practicing the present invention. A typical representative of such supports is Trisacryl GF-200 (IBF Biotechnics, USA) that is produced by copolymerization of N-acryloyl-2-amino-2-hydroxymethyl-1,3-propane diol and has an exclusion limit of  $2 \times 10^7$  daltons. In this resin the secondary amides contain 2-hydroxymethyl-1,3-propane diol substituents, each of its repeating units containing three hydroxymethyl groups and one secondary amide group.

Consequently, this polymer is more hydrophilic in character than the Biogel resins.

5 The primary amide groups of the polyacrylamide supports used according to the present invention are at least partially converted into bromoacetyl groups or thiol groups prior to the attachment to the oligonucleotides. The bromoacetyl and thiol derivatives were generated from hydrazide-derivatized supports. Further details of the respective procedures are to be found in the description of preferred embodiment and in the examples.

10 Since the conversion of hydrazide supports into bromoacetyl or thiol derivatives is not quantitative, the unconverted hydrazide functionalities are available for further derivatization; thus, if desired, they can be further converted into other groups, such as carboxyl, trinitrophenol, etc., groups. All of such derivatives are within the scope of the present invention. It should be noted that, although the bromoacetyl and thiol-derivatized polyacrylamide supports were prepared from the respective hydrazide compounds, other synthetic routes may also be available and are encompassed by the invention, provided that they are suitable for the production of polyacrylamide supports the primary amide groups of which are at least partially converted into bromoacetyl or thiol groups (see e.g. Inman, Meth. Enzymol. 34, 30 (1974)).

20 Oligonucleotides can be synthesized and purified by any method known in the art, for example using the solid-phase cyanoethyl phosphoramidite method and HPLC purification [Ghosh, et al., Nucl. Acids Res. 15, 5353 (1987)]. Alternatively, they can be isolated from natural sources or produced synthetically or by restriction enzyme cleavage and, if desired, tailored so as to be suitable for the intended use.

Thiol- and bromoacetyl-derivatized oligonucleotides can be prepared, as hereinbefore described, by literature-known processes. Further details of their preparation are given in the description of preferred embodiments of the invention and in the examples.

In the specification and claims, when describing the process of hybridization between oligonucleotides and solid supports, the terms "attachment", "coupling", "tether", "binding" and "immobilization" are used interchangeably and refer to covalent linkage of oligonucleotides to the solid supports.

The term "TAS" is used to refer to the transcription amplification system disclosed in PCT International Publication No. WO88/10315.

This method involves using oligonucleotides to prime the synthesis of a double-stranded DNA copy (cDNA) of the target DNA or RNA sequence.

In an embodiment of TAS, one of the oligonucleotides, primer A contains, within its sequence, the T7 RNA polymerase promoter binding sequence (PBS) attached to sequences complementary to the target sequence (TCS). Elongation from this primer by reverse transcriptase results in the generation of a single-stranded cDNA containing the T7 promoter at its 5' end. A second primer oligonucleotide, primer B, is complementary to the first cDNA strand at some distance (100-300 bases) downstream of primer A. Primer B is used to initiate synthesis of the second cDNA strand, producing a double-stranded cDNA with the T7 RNA polymerase promoter attached. Incubation of the double-stranded cDNA with T7 RNA polymerase and ribonucleotide triphosphates will result in the synthesis of RNA



transcripts from the cDNA. Additional amplification can be achieved by repeating TAS on the newly synthesized RNA.

5 As to other aspects of the invention, including preparation and purification of oligonucleotides, preparation of oligonucleotide-target nucleic acid adducts, methods for attachment of oligonucleotides to solid supports, hybridization methodologies, detection and measurement of signals generated by properly  
10 hybridized nucleic acids, etc., reference is made to standard textbooks of molecular biology.

See, for example, Maniatis, et al., Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, New York 1982, and the various references  
15 cited therein; Davis et al., Basic Methods in Molecular Biology, Elsevier Science Publishing, Inc., New York (1986) and Hames, et al., "Nucleic Acid Hybridization", IRL Press, (1985).

20 2. Description of preferred embodiment

Before further derivatization, the polyacrylamide matrices, e.g., Biogel beads are treated with hydrazine, essentially following the procedure of Inman, Supra. (See Reference Example 2.) Depending on  
25 the hydrazine concentrations, reaction temperatures and reaction times hydrazide supports with various substitution levels of the hydrazide functionality may be obtained. The reaction can be carried out at room temperature or at higher temperatures (see Inman Supra).  
30 Hydrazide group densities may be measured by the method given in the Analytical Procedures section of the Inman reference referred to above or Reference Example 1d. In these experiments polyacrylamide resins with different exclusion limits can be employed, the higher exclusion  
35 limits being preferred. According to a particularly

preferred embodiment, polyacrylamide supports having large pore sizes, in particular those with exclusion limits over 400000 daltons, most preferably about  $2 \times 10^7$  daltons, such as Trisacryl GF 2000 (IBF Biotechnics, USA) are used.

Although the non-specific binding of [ $^{32}$ P]-labeled oligonucleotides was found to be low and not dependent on the level of substitution of the hydrazide functionalities on hydrazide-derivatized Biogel resins, hydrazide-derivatized supports did not perform well in binding experiments with enzyme-oligonucleotide conjugates

primarily due to increased non-specific binding, principally attributed to the enzyme component of the conjugate. This problem was thought to be eliminated by further derivatization of the supports.

According to an embodiment of the invention, thiol-oligonucleotides are coupled to bromoacetyl-derivatized polyacrylamide supports.

The bromoacetyl derivatives of polyacrylamide supports are preferably obtained by treatment of the hydrazide functionalized supports with excess of bromoacetic acid-N-hydroxysuccinimide ester, essentially following the procedure described by Bernatowitz et al., Supra. The extent of derivatization can be determined by a two-step procedure. In the first step, the supports are exposed to a large excess of dithiothreitol (DTT) to effect a quantitative bromoacetyl-to-thiol functionality conversion. The thiol groups are then titrated with Ellman's reagent [5,5'-dithio-bis(2-nitrobenzoic acid)]. Under normal reaction conditions, the conversions usually range between 16-56%, therefore, the residual hydrazide groups are still available to further derivatization. Carboxyl derivatives can be obtained by treating the bromoacetyl

derivatized supports with excess glutaric anhydride, to effect a hydrazide-to-carboxyl transformation.

5 In non-specific binding experiments with oligonucleotide-alkaline phosphatase conjugates the bromoacetyl-derivatized supports perform considerably better than the hydrazide-derivatives. Non-specific binding is further reduced by the hydrazide-to-carboxyl transformation. It was found to be advantageous to silanize the eppendorf tubes and have 1% BSA in the  
10 hybridization solutions to prevent adhesion of the oligonucleotide-enzyme conjugates to the walls of the tubes.

To effect coupling, the oligonucleotides are also derivatized. Since thiol compounds, due to their  
15 greater nucleophilicity over amines, show enhanced reactivities towards alpha-halo carbonyl substituted compounds, according to the invention, the bromoacetyl-derivatized polyacrylamide supports are coupled with thiol-oligonucleotides. Preferably, thiol-derivatized  
20 oligonucleotides are prepared using a [ $^{32}\text{P}$ ]-labeled 5'-phosphorylated oligonucleotide in two steps: (1) reaction of the phosphate group with imidazole in the presence of a diimide and displacement of the imidazole leaving group with cystamine in one reaction step; and reduction of the  
25 disulfide bond of the cystamine linker with DTT. A similar procedure was described by Orgel et al., Supra. The 5'-phosphorylated starting oligonucleotides are prepared as described by Maniatis et al., Supra, p. 122. Details of the synthesis of their thiol-derivatives are  
30 set forth in Example 1c. The overall phosphate-to-thiol transformation obtained by this process was estimated to be 60-75% by polyacrylamide gel analysis of [ $^{32}\text{P}$ ]-labeled products, and susceptibility of clearance of 5'- $^{32}\text{PO}_4$  label of unreacted phosphorylated oligonucleotide by

alkaline phosphatase treatment, as described in Example 1d.

The thiol-derivatized oligonucleotides are coupled with the bromoacetyl-derivatized polyacrylamide solid supports under an atmosphere of nitrogen (see also Example 1e). The attachment of thiol-oligonucleotides to the supports can be monitored using radiolabeled nucleic acids. The coupling efficiency of thiol-functionalized oligonucleotides to the bromoacetyl-derivatized solid supports is dependent on the pH of the reaction, with pH of about 9.0 being preferred. We have found a considerable variability in the attachment efficiencies in these experiments, probably due to the susceptibility of the reactive thiol group to air oxidation in storage and during the course of the reaction. The overall attachment efficiencies were found to be between about 3.5% and 48%. However, since the yields of the coupling experiments are calculated as percentages of cpm's of the total radioactivity introduced in the system, due to the incomplete conversion of the 5'-phosphorylated oligonucleotides to their thiol-derivatives, the actual yields are considerably higher. Moreover, following this method, more than 99% of the oligonucleotides are attached to the solid support through their 5'-termini. This is a striking advantage over other systems known in the art, for example Sephacryl beads, where merely about 50-55% of the Oligonucleotides are end-attached.

During the course of this work, we found it beneficial to: (1) use fresh thiol oligonucleotides for the coupling reaction; (2) degas all reaction solutions prior to use; and (3) carry out the coupling under an inert atmosphere.

The hybridization potential of thiol-oligonucleotides immobilized on bromoacetyl polyacrylamide supports was evaluated using either a <sup>32</sup>P-

labeled oligonucleotide in a direct capture experiment, or a single-stranded 7Kb plasmid DNA target in a sandwich format. The oligonucleotides immobilized according to the invention generally gave better results than  
5 Sephacryl beads for direct capture of target oligonucleotides in this construction. The results were somewhat worse in case of sandwich capture of long target DNA.

Using the reverse format, bromoacetyl-  
10 derivatized oligonucleotides are coupled with thiol-derivatized polyacrylamide solid supports. The introduction of thiol functionalities on polyacrylamide matrices can be achieved by reacting hydrazide-  
15 polyacrylamide supports with N-acetylhomocysteine thiolactone, essentially as described in Example 2a hereinafter. The extent of derivatization can be determined, by titration of the thiol group with Ellman's reagent, as hereinbefore described. The conversion  
20 usually is between about 4% and about 15%, and is strongly dependent on the amount of hydrazide groups in the solid supports; supports with higher hydrazide substitution levels being preferred. Since the  
25 conversion of hydrazide groups into thiol groups is low, there are always unreacted hydrazide groups left that are available for further derivatization. Matrices with  
30 mixed functionalities, in which the residual hydrazide groups are converted, for example, into carboxyl or trinitrophenyl groups, without modifying the thiol-  
35 functionalities, are easy to prepare by literature-known processes and may have advantages in certain hybridization reactions, by reducing non-specific absorption of the negatively charged nucleic acids, due to the anionic properties of carboxyl and trinitrophenyl groups. Treatment of the polyacrylamide supports with glutaric anhydride and/or iodoacetic acid converts the

hydrazide and sulfhydryl functionalities to carboxylic groups. These supports were found to provide better non-specific adsorption results and are, therefore, preferred for use in direct capture experiments.

5           Oligonucleotides derivatized at their 5'-termini with bromoacetyl groups can, for example, be prepared by reacting 5'-aminohexyl-phosphoramidate oligonucleotides with bromoacetic acid-N-hydroxysuccinimide ester. The performance of the  
10           reaction is further illustrated in the examples. The phosphate-to-bromoacetamide transformation is about 60-70% determined by polyacrylamide gel analysis of the [ $^{32}\text{P}$ ]-labeled products, and susceptibility of cleavage of 5'- $^{32}\text{PO}_4$  label of unreacted phosphorylated  
15           oligonucleotides to alkaline phosphatase treatment.

          The covalent attachment of the bromoacetyl-oligonucleotides to thiol-derivatized polyacrylamide solid supports is illustrated in the examples. The  
20           coupling efficiencies are between about 3% and about 30%, depending on the pore size (molecular weight exclusion limit) of the solid support employed. As noted earlier, the actual coupling efficiencies are higher, since these figures do not reflect the incomplete conversion of the 5'-phosphorylated oligonucleotides to their bromoacetyl  
25           derivatives. Surprisingly, more porous matrices with high exclusion limits provide substantially better coupling results than the highly cross-linked variants.

          The hybridization potential of bromoacetyl oligonucleotides immobilized on thiol-derivatized solid  
30           supports was tested by direct capture of oligonucleotide targets and in sandwich format, using TAS RNA transcripts. The results were similar to those obtained with the reverse format. While the oligonucleotides immobilized on thiol-polyacrylamide supports were clearly  
35           better in direct capture of oligonucleotides than

Sephacryl beads, their capture potential was less expressed in sandwich capture experiments, especially when long targets were to be identified.

5 The direct capture and background properties of bromoacetyl ester derivatized oligonucleotides immobilized on thiol-polyacrylamide solid supports were also tested with oligonucleotide-alkaline phosphatase conjugates. Although the background from non-specific binding was found to be low, the greater capture  
10 potential of polyacrylamide supports was lost in the case of oligonucleotide-enzyme conjugates.

According to a particularly preferred embodiment of the invention, polyacrylamide supports with exclusion limits of about  $2 \times 10^7$  daltons are employed. A  
15 typical support from this group is Trisacryl GF-2000 (IBF Biotechnics, USA). Since, as hereinabove described, the amide hydrogens of this polyacrylamide resin are substituted with 2-hydroxymethyl-1,3-propane diol groups, before further derivatization, reactive amine groups need  
20 to be introduced, for example by transamidation with ethylene diamine. This reaction is carried out at slightly elevated temperatures, preferably at about 90°C. Thiol-derivatives of these supports are prepared in an analogous manner to the preparation of the thiol-  
25 derivatized Biogel products. To reduce electrostatic interactions between the positively charged amine groups and the negatively charged oligonucleotide backbone, the residual unreacted amine groups on the Trisacryl supports are preferably acylated either with glutaric anhydride or  
30 succinic anhydride. The substitution level of the sulfhydryl groups on the Trisacryl-SH supports, determined by titration of the sulfhydryl functionalities with DTNB, is in the range of about 10 to about 16  $\mu$ moles/gr. With these supports, the coupling  
35 efficiencies to bromoacetylated oligonucleotides is about

20%, and the level of end-attachment is extremely high (about 97%). The oligonucleotide substitution level of these supports is about 60 pmoles oligonucleotide/gram support.

5           In hybridization studies involving direct capture of radioactively labeled oligonucleotides, Trisacryl-SH supports containing immobilized oligonucleotides, especially supports in which the unreacted sulfhydryl groups were alkylated with  
10   iodoacetate, performed particularly well. The oligonucleotides immobilized on Trisacryl-SH supports showed excellent hybridization potential also in sandwich hybridization experiments, essentially carried out as hereinabove described. The signal-to-noise ratio was  
15   about 4-times higher in sandwich hybridization experiments performed with Trisacryl supports than on Sephacryl beads.

          The bromoacetylated oligonucleotides couple to thiol-derivatized polyacrylamide supports with reasonable  
20   yields, and the reproducibility of the reaction is very good. In case of the reverse format, using thiol-oligonucleotides and bromoacetyl derivatized supports, the coupling efficiencies show greater variability, probably due to the higher susceptibility of thiol-  
25   oligonucleotides to oxidation. However, both approaches provide extremely good end-attachment results. Essentially all of the oligonucleotides (95% or more) are attached to the solid supports by their 5'-termini, by using either coupling methodology of the present  
30   invention.

          The hybridization potential of oligonucleotides immobilized on polyacrylamide solid supports following any of the processes disclosed in the present invention, is very good in direct capture experiments, primarily due  
35   to the superior direct-capture ability of end-attached



oligonucleotides. In sandwich hybridizations with TAS RNA products or long, single-stranded DNA fragments, the polyacrylamide supports having large pore sizes perform especially well in contrast to their highly cross-linked counterparts. The results can be further improved by functionalization of these porous supports, e.g., with carboxyl groups. The best results were clearly obtained with polyacrylamide supports having an exclusion limit of about  $2 \times 10^7$  daltons (Trisacryl GF 2000).

### 3. Examples

#### Reference Example 1

##### Test methods

##### a. Direct capture of $^{32}\text{P}$ -labeled targets on oligonucleotide-derivatized supports

The bead samples (50 mg) were aliquoted into 1 ml eppendorf tubes, and the supernatant was removed after centrifugation. Prehybridization in 250  $\mu\text{l}$  of 5x SSPE [0.75 M NaCl, 50 mM  $\text{NaH}_2\text{PO}_4$ , pH 7.4, and 5 mM ethylene diamine tetracetate $\cdot$ 4 Na (EDTA)], 10% dextran sulfate, 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) was carried out at 37°C for 30 minutes, after which the supernatant was drawn off. Samples of complementary and non-complementary  $^{32}\text{P}$ -labeled oligonucleotides (approximately 30 bases) were preincubated at 65°C for 5 minutes and then added to the bead samples (typically 3.75 fmoles oligonucleotide/100  $\mu\text{l}$  hybridization buffer). The beads were incubated at 37°C for 1 hour with occasional shaking. After washing with 5 x 1 ml of 2x SSC (0.3 M NaCl, 0.03 M Na citrate, pH 7.0) at 37°C, the amount of label bound to the supports was determined by Cerenkov counting.

##### b. Sandwich hybridization of TAS-generated RNA transcripts on solid supports

Samples of Biogel (Bio-Rad, USA) or Trisacryl (IBF Biotechnics, USA) (50 mg) were prehybridized as outlined above.

5 The target, DNA or RNA, was denatured at 65°C for 5 minutes immediately prior to hybridization. Solution hybridization of the target RNA (0.5 fmoles) with a complementary, <sup>32</sup>P-labeled detection oligonucleotide (5 fmoles) was performed in a total volume of 20 µl in 5x SSPE, 10% dextran sulfate, 0.1% SDS for 2 hours at 42°C. The sample was then diluted to 100 µl with hybridization buffer and added to the solid support. Sandwich hybridization was performed at 37°C for 1 hour with occasional shaking. Finally, the beads were washed with 5 x 1 ml 2x SSC at 37°C. Non-  
15 complementary RNA target was used as a control to determine the level of non-specific binding in the assay.

c. Direct capture of alkaline phosphatase-oligonucleotide conjugates on solid supports

20 Oligonucleotide-containing Biogel or Trisacryl supports (50 mg) were prehybridized with 250 µl of 5x SSC, 10% dextran sulfate, 0.1% SDS, 1% bovine serum albumin fraction V bovine serum albumin fraction V (BSA) in 1 ml eppendorf tubes for 30 minutes at 37°C.  
25 Complementary and non-complementary oligonucleotide-alkaline phosphatase conjugates were diluted with 0.1 M Tris, 0.1 M NaCl, 1% BSA, pH 7.5, and preincubated at 55°C for 5 minutes. After addition of the conjugate (37.5 fmoles) in 100 µl of hybridization buffer, the  
30 mixture of conjugate and Sephacryl beads was incubated at 42°C for 1 hour with occasional shaking. The supports were washed with 4 x 1 ml of 2x SSC at 37°C. The bead samples and washes were treated with 1 ml 0.1 mM p-nitrophenyl phosphate in 0.1 M Tris, 0.1 M NaCl, 0.01 M  
35 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, pH 9.5. After development of color at room

temperature for 1 hour, the formation of p-nitrophenolate was measured by reading the absorbance at 410 nm.

d. TNBS test for hydrazides and amines

5           Filtrates for hydrazide or amine-derivatized supports were tested for free hydrazide or ethylene-diamine as follows. Two ml of filtrate were treated with 1 ml of saturated  $\text{Na}_2\text{B}_4\text{O}_7$  and 3 drops of 3% aqueous trinitrobenzene sulfonate (TNBS), with thorough mixing.  
10       The appearance of a purple or orange color after one minute indicated the presence of hydrazine or amine, respectively.

e. DTNB test for sulfhydryl and bromoacetyl substitution determination

15           A sample of derivatized support (1-20 mg wet weight) was reduced with 500  $\mu\text{l}$  of 20 mM dithiothreitol (DTT) in 0.05 M  $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$ , 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0, for 30 minutes in a 1-ml eppendorf tube and washed with 3 x 1 ml of  
20       0.05 M  $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$ , pH 8.0, after the supernatant had been removed. The beads were then treated with 1 ml of 1 mM 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) in 0.05 M  $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$ , pH 8.0. The absorbance of the supernatant was monitored at 412 nm after 15 minutes ( $\epsilon = 13,600$  for  
25       released thiophenolate).

Reference Example 2

Preparation of hydrazide derivatives of Biogel beads

30

Hydrazide derivatives of Biogel beads (Bio-Rad, USA) were prepared using the procedure of Inman (Meth. Enzymol. 34(B):30, 1974). Typically, a 20 ml solution of 6 M hydrazine at 50°C was added to 1 gram of dry resin.  
35       After 40 minutes, the excess reagent was removed by

25

washing the beads with 0.2 M NaCl until the filtrate gave a negative test with 2,4,6-trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS). The derivatized beads were sucked dry for 15 minutes, weighed, and suspended in 10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA (TE), pH 8.0, or 0.1 M  $K_2HPO_4$ , pH 8.0.

The non-specific binding of [ $^{32}P$ ]-labeled oligonucleotides, alkaline phosphatase-oligonucleotide conjugates, alkaline phosphatase with BSA, and linker-derivatized alkaline phosphatase with BSA was determined with the above supports, and the results presented in Table I.

TABLE I

## NON-SPECIFIC BINDING ON BIOGEL P-60 HYDRAZIDE BEADS

% Bound to Beads

Biogel-	[ $^{32}P$ ]	Conju-	Conjugate	Alkaline	Alkaline
Hydrazide	Oligo	gate	+ BSA	Phosphatase	Phosphatase-
				with BSA	Linker w/BSA
-----					
31 micro-					
mole/gm	0.26	11.3	5.2	1.95	5.90
62 micro-					
mole/gm	0.23	9.8	--	--	--
125 micro-					
mole/gm	0.32	13.7	4.1	--	--
500 micro-					
mole/gm	0.41	13.5	8.6	1.2	4.49

The non-specific binding of [ $^{32}$ P]-labeled oligonucleotides was low and was not dependent on the level of substitution of the hydrazide residues on Biogel. However, backgrounds from enzyme-oligonucleotide conjugates were much higher (9.8-13.7%) when no BSA was used in the hybridization solutions. This indicated that the enzyme component of the conjugate was largely responsible for this interaction. The addition of BSA to the hybridization mixture was found to be partially effective in eliminating non-specific binding of the enzyme-oligonucleotide conjugate. Parallel experiments using alkaline phosphatase and alkaline phosphatase-linker show that derivatization of the enzyme with the linker was primarily responsible for the non-specific binding.

#### Example 1

Covalent attachment of thiol-oligonucleotides to bromoacetyl Biogel beads

a. Acylation of Biogel hydrazide beads with N-succinimidyl bromoacetate

#### Synthesis of N-succinimidyl bromoacetate

Bromoacetic acid (20 mmoles) was treated with dicyclohexyl-carbodiimide (22 mmoles, 1.1 equivalents) and N-hydroxysuccinimide (22 mmoles) in 30 ml  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  at 4°C according to the procedure of M.S. Bernatowicz and G.R. Matsueda (Anal. Biochem. 155:95, 1986) to give the N-succinimidyl bromoacetate as a white solid.

#### Acylation

A 1 gram (wet weight) sample of Biogel hydrazide beads (50  $\mu\text{moles}$ /dry gram) was washed with 2x 50 ml 0.1 M  $\text{K}_2\text{HPO}_4$ , pH 7.0, and resuspended in 5 ml of this buffer. The suspension was cooled to 0°C in an ice

bath, and N-succinimidyl bromoacetate (10 mole equivalents relative to hydrazide groups) in 250  $\mu$ l N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) was added dropwise with stirring. The reaction mixture was allowed to come to room temperature over 30 minutes. After cooling to 0°C again, another aliquot of N-succinimidyl bromoacetate was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at room temperature. The beads were filtered through a sintered glass funnel (porosity C) and washed with 50 ml 0.1 M  $K_2HPO_4$ , pH 7.0. The acylated beads were stored in 0.1 M  $K_2HPO_4$  at 4°C.

To determine the level of substitution, the supports were exposed to a large excess of DTT to effect a quantitative bromoacetyl-to-thiol functionality conversion. The thiol groups were then titrated with Ellman's reagent [5,5-dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid)] (see Reference Example 1e). From Table II it can be seen that the conversion of hydrazide groups to bromoacetyl functionalities proceeded with an efficiency of 56%, 43%, and 40% for P-10, P-60, and P-200, respectively, while the reaction with the P-2 support was less efficient.

TABLE II  
REACTION OF HYDRAZIDE WITH BROMOACETIC ACID  
NHS ESTER POLYACRYLAMIDE SUPPORTS

Support Type	$\mu\text{moles}$ $\text{NHNH}_2/\text{g}$	Molar excess $\text{NHSBrAc}$	$\mu\text{moles}$ $\text{CH}_2\text{Br/g}$	% $\text{NHNH}_2$ converted
P-2- $\text{CH}_2\text{Br}$ (MW cut-off 2,000)	50	20	8.0	16.0
P-10- $\text{CH}_2\text{Br}$ (MW cut-off 10,000)	50	20	27.9	55.8
P-60- $\text{CH}_2\text{Br}$ (MW cut-off 60,000)	50	20	21.6	43.2
P-200- $\text{CH}_2\text{Br}$ (MW cut-off 200,000)	50	20	20.3	40.5

Non-specific binding experiments with oligonucleotide-alkaline phosphatase conjugates (see Reference Example 1c) were carried out with three types of P-2 and P-60 supports (Table III).

TABLE III

NON-SPECIFIC BINDING WITH 50 FMOLES  
CONJUGATE (% BOUND)

Type of Support	-NHNH <sub>2</sub>	-CH <sub>2</sub> Br -NHNH <sub>2</sub>	-CH <sub>2</sub> Br -COOH
P-2	1.57	1.01	0.71
P-60	7.3	3.5	1.4

A decrease in non-specific adsorption was observed for both supports when the hydrazide functionality was capped with bromoacetyl groups. Since the reaction of hydrazide supports with bromoacetic acid N-hydroxysuccinimide ester does not result in a quantitative conversion (see Table II, last column), the residual hydrazide groups are still available for derivatization.

b. Glutarylation of bromoacetyl Biogel beads

A 1.0 gram (wet weight) sample of bromoacetyl Biogel beads (50  $\mu$ M hydrazide/dry gram) was washed with 0.1 M NaCl and suspended in 20 ml of this solution in a glass beaker. To the stirred suspension was added 100 mg of glutaric anhydride. The pH of the suspension was maintained at 4.0-4.2 with 3 M NaOH, while the reaction mixture was stirred for 15 minutes. Then, another 100 mg of anhydride was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for a further 15 minutes. The beads were then washed with 3x 40 ml 0.1 M K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, pH 7.0, by allowing the



beads to settle in a conical tube and decanting the supernatant.

When the bromoacetyl supports were treated with excess glutaric anhydride, as described above, a hydrazide-to-carboxyl transformation was obtained. The carboxyl group in these bifunctional supports provided an additional reduction in non-specific binding of the enzyme-oligonucleotide conjugates (Table III, column 3).

c. One-step preparation of 5'-cystaminyl phosphoramidate derivatives of oligonucleotides

The 5'-phosphorylated oligonucleotide [Maniatis et al., Supra] in a silanized eppendorf tube was treated with 300  $\mu$ l of a 0.1 M imidazole, 0.15 M 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-carbodiimide·HCl (EDC), 0.25 M cystamine dihydrochloride, pH 6.0, and let stand at room temperature overnight. The modified oligonucleotides were precipitated with ethanol/LiCl, washed with 300  $\mu$ l H<sub>2</sub>O, and precipitated again. Yields of 86% were obtained using this procedure. The reaction is illustrated in Figure 2.

d. Determination of level of cystamine attachment to 5'-phosphorylated oligonucleotides

A 50-pmole sample of <sup>32</sup>P-labeled cystaminyl-derivatized oligonucleotide was treated with 1  $\mu$ l stock calf intestine alkaline phosphatase (Boehringer Mannheim, EIA grade) and the volume made up to 100  $\mu$ l with 0.1 M Tris, 0.1 M NaCl, 0.01 M MgCl<sub>2</sub>, pH 9.5. The reaction was allowed to proceed for 2 hours. The sample was then applied on a 5 ml column of Sephadex G-50 and eluted with TE, pH 8.0. The first and second radioactive peaks were collected, corresponding to cystaminyl-derivatized oligonucleotides and free inorganic phosphate (cleaved from 5'-phosphorylated oligonucleotide), respectively.

The peaks were counted by Cerenkov counting to estimate the yield of cystamine attachment.

5 e. Covalent attachment of 5'-cystaminyl-derivatized oligonucleotides to bromoacetyl-Biogel beads

10 The cystaminyl-containing oligonucleotide was reduced with 300  $\mu$ l 0.1 M DTT, 0.2 M HEPES, 0.001 M EDTA, pH 7.7, for 1 hour at room temperature. The product was precipitated with EtOH/LiCl and washed twice with 0.2 M HEPES, 0.001 M EDTA. Typically, 50 mg of beads were treated with 25 pmoles of reduced oligonucleotide in 150  $\mu$ l 0.1 M  $K_2HPO_4$ , pH 9.0, and agitated under  $N_2$  on a rotary mixer overnight. As a control to determine non-specific binding, a 5'-phosphorylated oligonucleotide was added to a sample of beads under the same conditions. The beads were washed with: (a) 3x 1 ml 0.1 M  $Na_2P_2O_7$ , pH 7.5, and (b) 3x 1 ml 0.015 M NaOH, pH 12.  $^{32}P$ -labeled oligonucleotides were used as controls to estimate end-attachment efficiencies.

20 The attachment of the thiol-derivatized oligonucleotides to the bromoacetyl-Biogel supports was monitored using radiolabeled nucleic acids, and the results are summarized in Table IV.

TABLE IV  
COUPLING YIELDS<sup>1</sup> OF BROMOACETYL SUPPORTS WITH THIOL-OLIGONUCLEOTIDES

	Type of Support	‡ 86-31-SH bound	‡ 86-31-PO <sub>4</sub> bound	‡ end-attachment	‡ <sup>2</sup> 87-416-SH bound	‡ 87-416-PO <sub>4</sub> bound	‡ end-attachment
1	P-2-CH <sub>2</sub> Br	3.46	0.08	98			
2	P-10-CH <sub>2</sub> Br	9.51	0.44	95			
3	P-60-CH <sub>2</sub> Br	15.82	0.28	98			
4	P-60-CH <sub>2</sub> Br	36.7	0.84	98			
5	P-60-CH <sub>2</sub> Br	48.4	0.15	100			
6	P-200-CH <sub>2</sub> Br	17.00	0.35	98			
7	P-60-CH <sub>2</sub> Br				16.1	0.7	96
8	P-60-CH <sub>2</sub> Br -CO <sub>2</sub> H				11.9	0.3	98

<sup>1</sup>Reactions were done in potassium phosphate pH 9.00, for 16 hours, and non-specifically bound oligonucleotides were removed by 3 washes with sodium pyrophosphate, followed by 3 washes with NaOH, pH 12.00.

<sup>2</sup>End attachment (‡) = [(oligo-SH) - (oligo-PO<sub>4</sub>)] + oligo-SH

Since the yields of the coupling experiments are percentages of cpms of the total radioactivity introduced in the reaction, the actual yields are in fact higher, due to the incomplete conversion of 5'-phosphorylated oligonucleotides to their thiolated derivatives. It can readily be seen that essentially all of the oligonucleotides are end-attached via thioether bonds following this coupling strategy. The variability in the attachment efficiencies (see entries 3-6, Table IV), was ascribed to the susceptibility of the reactive thiol group to air oxidation in storage or during the course of the reaction. While the overall attachment efficiencies of oligonucleotide to polyacrylamide supports (3-48%) were lower than to Sephacryl (70%), this coupling strategy provides a superior method for obtaining end-attached oligonucleotides.

The hybridization potential of oligonucleotides immobilized on P-60 supports (MW 60,000 cut-off) was evaluated using [ $^{32}$ P]-oligonucleotide-length target and a 7 kb DNA single-stranded plasmid in a sandwich format. The P-60 support was chosen for the study, since this matrix displayed the best attachment efficiencies in the coupling reaction with thiol-oligonucleotide derivatives. The results of the capture experiments are summarized in Table V.

TABLE V  
HYBRIDIZATION EXPERIMENTS WITH BROMOACETYL-DERIVATIZED  
POLYACRYLAMIDE SUPPORTS

86-31 immobilized support	Oligonucleotide Target		Sandwich with with 7 kb Target			
	fmoles target	86-32 (comple- mentary)	86-31 (non- complementary)	fmoles target	PHIV-1 (comple- mentary)	PHIV-2 (comple- mentary)
-CH <sub>2</sub> Br P-60-	0.5	89	0.2	0.5	8.3	0.85
-NHNH <sub>2</sub>						
-CH <sub>2</sub> Br P-60-	0.5	74	0.15			
-COOH						
Sephacryl beads	0.5	54	0.15	0.5	25	0.4

As seen in the Table, both types of P-60 oligonucleotide supports proved to be better than Sephacryl beads for direct capture of oligonucleotides. The support-bearing carboxyl functionalities on the surface displayed a non-specific binding identical to the Sephacryl control and were marginally better than the P-60 hydrazide matrix. The polyacrylamide-based support showed a poorer ability than Sephacryl beads to detect long target DNA in sandwich hybridizations and also displayed higher backgrounds. This result suggested that perhaps a substantial portion of the immobilized oligonucleotides was in the interior of the support, and therefore was not accessible to the long target fragment for hybridization. Consequently, only a small portion of the immobilized oligonucleotide would be available on the surface for the rate of duplex formation. At this stage, it was reasoned that it would be beneficial to reverse the functionalities in the coupling reaction. An impediment to obtaining better coupling efficiencies, and hence higher substitution levels, was the susceptibility of the thiol-oligonucleotide derivative to oxidation. The following Examples 2 and 3 discuss our results with the reverse format.

25

### Example 2

Covalent attachment of bromoacetyl-oligonucleotides to Biogel-SH

30

#### a. Preparation of sulfhydryl derivatives of Biogel supports

One gram (wet weight) of Biogel hydrazide beads (500  $\mu$ moles-NHNH<sub>2</sub>/dry gram) was equilibrated with 0.5 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, pH 9.7. To a suspension in 5 ml were added 30 mole equivalents (relative to NHNH<sub>2</sub> groups) of N-acetylhomocysteine thiolactone, and the mixture was

shaken at room temperature overnight. The beads were washed with 300 ml 0.1 M NaCl and stored in TE, pH 8.0, or 0.1 M  $K_2HPO_4$ , pH 8.0. The reaction is illustrated in Figure 1, reaction B. The level of sulfhydryl substitution was determined by titrating with 5,5'-dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid) (DTNB) and monitoring the release of 3-carboxylato-4-nitrothiophenolate at 412 nm. The conversion was found to be dependent on the substitution level of hydrazide groups in the solid support, as illustrated in Table VI.

TABLE VI  
REACTION OF HYDRAZIDE SUPPORTS WITH  
N-ACETYLBHOMOCYSTEINE LACTONE

Type of support	$\mu\text{mole}$ NHNH <sub>2</sub> /gm	molar excess thiol lactone	% NHNH <sub>2</sub> conversion	$\mu\text{mole}$ SH/gm
-----				
1 P-2-SH	50	100	3.8	1.9
2 P-2-SH	500	30	9.9	49.4
3 P-10-SH	50	100	7.1	3.6
4 P-60-SH	50	100	7.6	3.6
5 P-200-SH	50	100	4.3	2.2
6 P-200-SH	500	30	14.2	70.3
7 P-200-SH <sup>1</sup> -CO <sub>2</sub> H	500	30	15.3	76.3
8 P-300-SH	500	20	10.4	52.2
9 P-300-SH <sup>2</sup> -TNPH	500	20	10.0	50.2
10 P-300-SH <sup>3</sup> -CO <sub>2</sub> H	500	20	9.2	45.9

- 
1. Conversion of hydrazide groups in P-200-SH support with glutaric anhydride.
  2. Conversion of hydrazide groups in P-300-SH support with trinitrophenyl sulfonate.
  3. Conversion of hydrazide groups in P-300-SH supports with glutaric anhydride.



**b. Glutarylation of sulfhydryl-Biogel beads**

A one-gram sample of sulfhydryl Biogel was suspended in 20 ml 0.1 M NaCl, and two 100-mg aliquots of glutaric anhydride were added at 15-minute intervals.

5 The pH was maintained near 4.0 with 3 M NaOH. After a total reaction time of 30 minutes, the beads were washed with 0.1 M NaCl. Hydrolysis of thioesters was then carried out with 10 ml 0.1 M Tris·HCl, pH 8.5, for 1 hour at room temperature.

10 Further conversion of the remaining hydrazide groups in the thiol supports with glutaric anhydride or trinitrobenzene sulfonate provided matrices with mixed functionalities (–SH and –COOH, or –SH and –TNPH, respectively). No modification of the thiol groups was  
15 observed in these reactions (Table VI, compare 6 and 7, and 8-10). The rationale behind the syntheses of these mixed supports was to exploit the anionic or dipolar properties of the carboxyl or TNPH groups in reducing non-specific adsorption of negatively charged nucleic  
20 acids in hybridization reactions. A family of thiol-functionalized polyacrylamide supports, spanning a wide range of exclusion volumes, was thus prepared, having thiol substitution levels varying from 2-76  $\mu$ moles per gram of material.

**c. Preparation of bromoacetyl derivatives of oligonucleotides**

A 5'-phosphorylated oligonucleotide in a silanized eppendorf tube was treated with 300  $\mu$ l of 0.25  
30 M hexanediamine HCl, 0.1 M methylimidazole, 0.15 M EDC, pH 6.0, at room temperature for 20 hours. The amine derivative was precipitated twice with EtOH/LiCl and redissolved in 285  $\mu$ l of 0.2 M HEPES, pH 7.7. A 15- $\mu$ l aliquot of a 10-mg/ml solution of N-succinimidyl  
35 bromoacetate in DMF was added. After a reaction time of

1 hour, the oligonucleotide was precipitated twice with EtOH/LiCl. The reaction is illustrated in Figure 3. The overall phosphate-to-bromoacetamide transformation was estimated to be 60-70% by polyacrylamide gel analysis of [32P]-labelled products, and susceptibility to cleavage of the 5'-32PO<sub>4</sub> group (unreacted phosphorylated oligonucleotide) by alkaline phosphatase treatment.

d. Covalent attachment of 5'-bromoacetyl-derivatized oligonucleotides to sulfhydryl-Biogel beads

Sulphydryl-Biogel support (1 gram wet weight) was reduced with 5 ml 20 mM DTT in 0.05 M K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, pH 8.0, for 1 hour, then washed with 2 x 40 ml 0.05 M K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, pH 8.0, followed by 2 x 40 ml 0.1 M triethylammonium phosphate, 1 mM EDTA, pH 9.0. Five hundred pmoles of bromoacetyl-derivatized oligonucleotide was dissolved in 1 ml TEAP, EDTA, pH 9.0, and added to the resin in a 5-ml polypropylene tube. After purging the tube with N<sub>2</sub> and sealing with parafilm, the bead sample was agitated on a rotary mixer overnight. The beads were washed with: (a) 3 x 10 ml 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, pH 7.5, and (b) 2 x 10 ml TE, pH 8.0. Unreacted sulfhydryl groups were capped by reducing the support with 3 ml 20 mM DTT in 0.05 M K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, pH 8.0, for 30 minutes. After removal of excess DTT and equilibration in 0.1 M TEAP, 1 mM EDTA, pH 9.0, 3 ml of 5 mM iodoacetic acid in the same buffer was added and allowed to react for 1 hour. After filtration of unreacted reagent through a sintered glass funnel (porosity C), the bead samples were stored in TE, pH 8.0, at 4°C.

Coupling efficiencies of bromoacetyl oligonucleotides to thiol polyacrylamide supports

The immobilization studies concentrated on P-2, P-200, and P-300 matrices, because of their vastly

different exclusion volumes. It was hypothesized that the highly cross-linked P-2 support (MW cut-off 2,000) would have all the oligonucleotides attached on the surface, and hence be available for capture. The small pore size would also prevent the inclusion of nucleic acids during the hybridization and thereby be beneficial in reducing non-specific binding. In contrast, the P-200 and P-300 supports (MW cut-off 200,00 and 300,000) are closer to Sephacryl (MW cut-off 20,000,000) in structural features in having large pores in the matrix. The results of the coupling reaction (see Figure 4) of these derivatives with these thiol-polyacrylamide supports are shown in Table VII.

TABLE VII

COUPLING YIELDS OF THIOL SUPPORTS  
WITH BROMOACETYL OLIGONUCLEOTIDES

	Support (500 $\mu$ mole NHNH <sub>2</sub> initial sub- stitution time)	86-31-CH <sub>2</sub> Br % attached	86-31-PO <sub>4</sub> % attached	% end attachmt
1	P-2-SH	3.5	0.2	94
2	P-200-SH	28.6	0.5	98
3	P-200 -SH -TNPH	29.3	0.5	98
4	P-300-SH	29.9	1.7	94
5	P-300 -SH -CO <sub>2</sub> H	22	0.5	98

5           The coupling with the P-2 support proceeded in  
low yields, even though the thiol concentration on the  
matrix greatly exceeded the oligonucleotide concentration  
in the reaction. P-200 and P-300 supports provided  
satisfactory yields and, in contrast to the reverse  
10       format, were consistently reproducible. As was noted  
earlier, the actual yields are higher than the values  
reported, due to the incomplete conversion of 5'-  
phosphorylated oligonucleotides to their bromoacetyl  
derivatives. The other factors to note are: (1)  
15       additional functionalization of the thiol supports with  
COOH or TNPH groups does not have a significant effect on  
the attachment efficiencies (entries 2 and 3, and 4 and  
5); (2) the reaction with bromoacetyl oligonucleotides  
results in end-attachment of the nucleic acid to the  
20       support, as evidenced by the minimal binding of the  
phosphorylated oligonucleotide control; (3) a comparison  
of the reactivity of bromoacetyl oligonucleotides with P-  
200 thiol supports indicated that the displacement of  
bromide by the thiol groups on the support was 30% more  
25       efficient than addition to the 5' maleimide-derivatized  
oligonucleotide; and (4) the optimum pH for coupling was  
determined to be pH 9.0; higher coupling efficiencies are  
obtained using triethylammonium phosphate as the coupling  
buffer, compared to potassium phosphate.

#### Hybridization characteristics of thiol supports

30           The hybridization of oligonucleotides on  
polyacrylamide thiol supports was tested by direct  
capture of oligonucleotide targets and in a sandwich  
format with TAS-generated RNA transcripts. Two sets of  
35       experiments are summarized in Table VIII.A., which  
compares P-2 and P-200 supports with Sephacryl beads

TABLE VIII  
HYBRIDIZATION STUDIES WITH THIOL SUPPORTS

Oligonucleotide (direct capture)		TAS (sandwich)		7 kb target (sandwich)	
Target 86-32 (fmoles)	86-31 (non-comp)	Target (fmoles)	comp non-comp	Target (fmoles)	comp non-comp
A. 86-31 Immobilized Support					
1 P-2-SH -NHNH <sub>2</sub>	3.75	70.9	0.13	0.5	2.9 1.4
2 P-200-SCH <sub>2</sub> COOH -NHNH <sub>2</sub>	3.75	91.9	0.35	0.5	8.6 1.9
3 Sephacryl beads	3.75	77.7	0.25	0.5	12.0 0.85
B.					
1 P-200-SH -NHNH <sub>2</sub>	0.5	82	0.6	0.5	13 1.9
2 Sephacryl beads	0.5	61	0.2	0.5	35 0.3
C.					
1 P-300-SCH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> H -NHNH <sub>2</sub>	3.75	88.4	0.56	0.5	24 7

TABLE VIII (continued)  
HYBRIDIZATION STUDIES WITH THIOL SUPPORTS

Oligonucleotide (direct capture)	TAS (sandwich)		7 kb target (sandwich)	
Target 86-32 (fmoles)	86-31 (non-comp)	Target (fmoles)	comp	non-comp
2 P-200-SH -NHNH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> H 3.75	87.8	0.55	0.5	17 4
3 P-300-SCH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> H -NHNH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> H 3.75	74.5	0.58	0.5	15 2
4 Sephacryl beads 3.75	66.5	0.18	0.5	13 0.6

Both types of polyacrylamide supports compared very favorably with Sephacryl beads in showing excellent ability to capture target oligonucleotides and low non-specific binding. However, in sandwich hybridizations with TAS products, the P-2 support displayed a reduced ability to capture target, as well as a high background. Although the P-200 support was better than P-2 in sandwich hybridizations, it suffered in comparison to Sephacryl beads due to its 2-fold higher non-specific binding. This feature was again seen in a set of experiments comparing Sephacryl beads with P-200 supports in a sandwich hybridization to a 7 kb target fragment (Table VIII.B). While the polyacrylamide support was clearly superior to Sephacryl in oligonucleotide capture, it showed not only a lower efficiency in sandwich capture of the long target, but also an unexpected increase in non-specific binding.

To address the non-specific adsorption problem, the polyacrylamide supports (P-200 and P-300) were treated with glutaric anhydride and/or iodoacetic acid to convert the hydrazide and sulfhydryl functionalities to carboxylic groups. The hybridization results with these modified supports are shown in Table VIII.C. Consistent with our earlier observations, the direct capture of oligonucleotides by all of the polyacrylamide supports was impressive and superior to Sephacryl beads. While similar levels of sandwich capture of the TAS transcripts were exhibited by both the polyacrylamide and Sephacryl supports, the problem of non-specific adsorption surfaced again for the thiol-based supports. Capping the hydrazide and thiol groups on the support helped to reduce the background 3- to 5-fold (Table VIII.C, entries 2 and 3).

In direct capture experiments with 3.75 fmoles of target oligonucleotide, non-specific binding was observed to be ~0.55% for all three types of polyacrylamide supports (Table VIII.C, entries 1, 2, and 3). However, in sandwich hybridizations in which 5 fmoles of detection oligonucleotide are utilized, a 5- to 14-fold increase was noted.

In order to test the premise that the long target was not captured as efficiently, and perhaps had a role to play in these increase of non-specific binding, direct capture of a labeled PCR product by polyacrylamide and Sephacryl beads was investigated. Table IX summarizes the results of the experiment.

TABLE IX  
DIRECT CAPTURE OF PCR-AMPLIFIED,  
DOUBLE-STRANDED PRODUCT

86-31 immobilized support	Target (fmole)	Comple- mentary (% capture)	Non-comple- mentary (% capture)	Signal/ noise
P-300-SH	1	11	1.1	10
P-300-SH	1	19.5	0.75	26
-CO <sub>2</sub> H				
P-200-SH	1	23	1.7	13.5
-CO <sub>2</sub> H				
1 Sephacryl beads	1	13.5	0.4	33.8
		17.5	0.65	26.9

1. Average of duplicates of two separate experiments



As seen in the Table, both carboxylated forms of the polyacrylamide supports showed better capture efficiencies of the long-stranded DNA target than Sephacryl beads, while the backgrounds were 2- to 3-fold higher than Sephacryl. These results with long target DNA closely paralleled our findings with oligonucleotide targets (Table VIII.C.). Thus, it appears that while the polyacrylamide supports can capture long targets as efficiently as (if not better than) Sephacryl beads, there are some other, at present unknown, factors involved in sandwich hybridizations which contribute to the increase of non-specific binding.

Hybridization of supports with oligonucleotide-enzyme conjugates

The direct capture and background properties of the polyacrylamide support were also tested with oligonucleotide-alkaline phosphatase conjugates. The supports were incubated with complementary oligonucleotide-enzyme conjugates for 1 hour and then subjected to the standard washes to remove unbound conjugate. Color development was allowed to proceed for 1 hour using p-nitrophenyl phosphate as substrate, and the release of p-nitrophenolate was determined spectrophotometrically. A non-complementary oligonucleotide-enzyme conjugate was used as a control. Data from this study are summarized in Table X.

TABLE X  
DIRECT CAPTURE OF OLIGONUCLEOTIDE-ENZYME CONJUGATES  
BY POLYACRYLAMIDE SUPPORTS

86-31 Immobilized <sup>1</sup> Support	fmoles	86-32-AP (comple- mentary)	86-31-AP (non-comple- mentary)	Signal/ noise (S/N)	Average S/N
1 P-200-SH -CO <sub>2</sub> H	35	.242	.030	8.5	8.5
2 P-200-SCH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> H -CO <sub>2</sub> H	35	.143	.006	21.8	14.6
	35	.239	.031	7.4	
3 10% P-200-SCH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> H <sup>2</sup> -CO <sub>2</sub> H	35	.135	.002	61.8	36.47
	35	.172	.015	11.0	
4 P-300-SCH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> H -CO <sub>2</sub> H	35	.216	.019	12	
	35	.173	.010	15.8	
	35	.222	.025	8.5	12.1

<sup>1</sup>Absorbances at 410 nm of p-nitrophenolate released from hydrolysis of p-nitrophenyl phosphate by support-bound conjugates are reported. The values reported are an average of duplicate experiments. Each row represents a different experiment performed with a duplicate set of solid supports (50 mg).

<sup>2</sup>Oligonucleotide-immobilized solid support was mixed with polyacrylamide support possessing carboxyl functionalities only.

TABLE X (continued)

DIRECT CAPTURE OF OLIGONUCLEOTIDE-ENZYME CONJUGATES  
BY POLYACRYLAMIDE SUPPORTS

	86-31 Immobilized <sup>1</sup> Support	fmoles	86-32-AP (comple- mentary)	86-31-AP (non-comple- mentary)	Signal/ noise (S/N)	Average S/N
5	10 $\mu$ P-300-SCH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> H -CO <sub>2</sub> H	35	.141 .190	.009 .026	14.3 7.0	10.7
6	Sephacryl beads	35	.294 .238	.013 .015	23.9 15.3	19.6

The following observations can be made from the results. The average signal generated by hydrolysis of the substrate by support-bound conjugates was ~40% higher in the case of the Sephacryl beads when compared to polyacrylamide supports. The average of the backgrounds for both supports was nearly identical. This therefore accounted for the superior signal-to-noise ratio of Sephacryl beads compared to the polyacrylamide supports. Keeping in mind that polyacrylamide supports are more efficient than Sephacryl beads in the direct capture of oligonucleotides, it was therefore surprising that the capture of the conjugates proved to be contrary.

In order to determine whether the presence of the immobilized oligonucleotide on the support contributed in some manner to non-specific binding, oligonucleotide-bound support was comixed with oligonucleotide-free support in a 1:9 w/w ratio. As seen in Table X, entries 3 and 5, no significant conclusions can be made from the signal-to-noise ratios.

### Example 3

#### Covalent attachment of bromoacetyl oligonucleotides to Trisacryl-SH

##### a. Preparation of amine derivative of

##### Trisacryl

A 20-ml suspension of Trisacryl GF-2000 (IBF, Biotechnics, USA) was pipetted into a sintered glass funnel, washed with 200 ml H<sub>2</sub>O, and sucked dry for 10 minutes. The dried sample (~11 grams) was added slowly to 20 ml of distilled ethylene diamine equilibrating at 90°C in an oil bath. After one hour, the reaction mixture was cooled by the addition of 30 ml of crushed ice. Excess ethylene diamine was removed by washing the resin with 400 ml 0.2 M NaCl, 0.001 M HCl, followed by 500 ml 0.1 M NaCl in a funnel. Washing was continued

until the filtrate gave a negative test with TNBS reagent (Reference Example 1d).

5           b.    Preparation of sulfhydryl derivative of Trisacryl

10           The Trisacryl-amine support was equilibrated with 0.5 M NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, pH 9.7, and the volume was adjusted to 30 ml in a 50-ml Sarstedt conical tube. Solid N-acetyl homocysteine lactone (1 gram) was added, and the tube was shaken at room temperature for 2 hours. Then, another 1 gram of reagent was added, and the sample was shaken overnight. The beads were washed with 500 ml of 0.1 M NaCl, and the sulfhydryl group concentration was estimated by titrating with Ellman's reagent (DTNB) (Reference Example 1e). The reaction is illustrated in Figure 5.

15           Titration of the sulfhydryl groups on the Trisacryl-SH support indicated a substitution level of 12.3  $\mu$ moles-SH per wet gram of resin.

20

          c.    Succinylation of sulfhydryl-Trisacryl

          A 2-gram sample of Trisacryl-SH was equilibrated in 20 ml 0.1 M NaOAc, pH 6.0, and treated with 100 mg solid succinic anhydride. After shaking for 25 30 minutes, another 100 mg of anhydride was added to the suspension and shaken for a further 30 minutes. The beads were then equilibrated in 40 ml 0.1 M Tris, pH 8.5. After 1 hour, the support was washed with TE, pH 8.0, and stored at 4°C.

30

          d.    Covalent attachment of 5'-bromoacetyl-derivatized oligonucleotides to sulfhydryl-Trisacryl

          The solid support (1 gram) was reduced with DTT following the procedure used for Biogel beads (Example 35 2d) and equilibrated in 0.1 M TEAP, 1mM EDTA, pH 9.0.

Five hundred pmoles of bromoacetyl-derivatized oligonucleotide dissolved in 1 ml TEAP/EDTA were added to the support, and the tube was purged with N<sub>2</sub> and sealed. After overnight agitation on a rotary mixer, 100  $\mu$ moles  
5 of iodoacetic acid were added and the mixture was left at room temperature for 1 hour. The beads were washed with 4 x 20 ml 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub>, pH 7.5, followed by 2 x 20 ml TE, pH 8.0.

The reaction is illustrated in Figure 6. The  
10 coupling reaction with [<sup>32</sup>P]-labeled, bromoacetylated oligonucleotides resulted in 12% of the label being attached to the sulfhydryl support, and the level of end-attachment was determined to be 97%. The actual coupling yield for this reaction was estimated to be approximately  
15 20%, based on a purity of 60-70% for the bromoacetyl oligonucleotide. Although the coupling yield was lower than those obtained with the Biogel polyacrylamide supports (40-45%), the Trisacryl-resin still contained a huge excess of oligonucleotide molecules relative to the  
20 amounts of target (0.5-5 fmoles) used in the hybridization experiments.

Hybridization characteristics of sulfhydryl-Trisacryl supports

The initial hybridization study (Table XI.A)  
25 involving direct capture of 3.75 fmoles of [<sup>32</sup>P]-labeled target with Trisacryl-SH (containing oligo 86-31) showed that the non-specific binding of non-complementary target was about three times higher than for the Sephacryl beads support (0.42% vs. 0.14%).

TABLE XI  
HYBRIDIZATION STUDIES WITH TRISACRYL-SH

A. <u>Direct Capture (&amp; Oligo Bound)</u>				
86-31 Support	Target (fmoles)	86-32 (Comp.)	86-31 (Non-Comp)	Signal/Noise
Trisacryl-SH	375	66.5	0.42	158.3
Trisacryl-SH (ICH <sub>2</sub> OOH-treated)	375	69.8	0.15	465.3
Sephacryl beads	375	54.6	0.14	390.0
B. <u>TAS HIV RNA (SANDWICH) CAPTURE</u>				
86-31 Support	Target (fmoles)	86-32 (Comp.)	86-31 (Non-Comp)	Signal/Noise
Trisacryl-SH (ICH <sub>2</sub> OOH-treated)	0.5	17.0	0.1	170.0
Sephacryl beads	0.5	12.5	0.9	13.9
C. <u>Direct Capture of Oligonucleotide-enzyme Conjugates</u>				
86-31 Support	Target (fmoles)	86-32-AP (Comp.)	86-31-AP (Non-Comp)	Signal/Noise
Trisacryl-SH (ICH <sub>2</sub> OOH-treated)	5	0.179	0.003	61.7
Sephacryl beads	5	0.319	0.023	14.4

It was anticipated that alkylation of the unreacted sulfhydryl groups on the Trisacryl support with iodoacetate would reduce the non-specific binding by increasing the negative charge-density of the matrix.

5 Indeed, when the alkylated Trisacryl support was assayed in a direct capture experiment, the non-specific binding dropped considerably to 0.15%, which was comparable to that obtained with Sephacryl beads.

10 More importantly, the results from a TAS sandwich experiment (Table XI.B) using 0.5 fmoles of target RNA emphasized the superiority of Trisacryl over Sephacryl beads as evidenced by the higher percent capture of complementary target. Most gratifying was the extremely low non-specific background afforded by this  
15 macroporous polyacrylamide support. This was in contrast to the higher background of Biogel supports, which had been the principal cause for the lower signal/noise ratios in the TAS sandwich format.

20 Finally, the Trisacryl support was tested with oligonucleotide-alkaline phosphatase conjugates to determine the level of direct capture and background properties (Table XI.C.). Incubation of complementary and non-complementary conjugates with Trisacryl and Sephacryl beads followed by standard washing procedures  
25 and a colorimetric assay using p-nitrophenyl phosphate was performed with 5 fmoles of conjugates. Although the capture of complementary target was higher in the case of Sephacryl beads, the non-specific binding of the Trisacryl was considerably lower, resulting in a 4-fold  
30 improvement in signal-to-noise compared to Sephacryl beads.



## CLAIMS:

1. Polyacrylamide supports having covalently attached thereto oligonucleotides substantially at their 5'-ends.
2. Polyacrylamide supports according to Claim 1 wherein at least about 95% of said oligonucleotides are attached to said supports at their 5'-ends.
3. Polyacrylamide supports according to Claim 1 having a molecular weight exclusion limit of between about 2000 and about 400,000 daltons.
4. Polyacrylamide supports according to Claim 1 having a molecular weight exclusion limit of at least about  $2 \times 10^7$  daltons.
5. Polyacrylamide supports according to Claim 4 having repeating units each of which contains three hydroxymethyl groups and one secondary amide group.
6. Process for the attachment of oligonucleotides to solid supports substantially at their 5'-ends, comprising coupling thiol-derivatized oligonucleotides to polyacrylamide supports, the amide groups of said polyacrylamide supports being at least partially converted into bromoacetyl groups prior to end-attachment.
7. A process according to Claim 6 wherein said thiol-derivatized oligonucleotides are 5'-alkylthiol oligonucleotides.
8. A process according to Claim 6 wherein the amide groups of said polyacrylamide supports are first modified with hydrazine and the obtained hydrazide functional groups are at least partially converted into bromoacetyl groups.
9. A process according to Claim 8 wherein said conversion into bromoacetyl groups is carried out with bromoacetic acid N-hydroxysuccinimide ester.

10. A process according to Claim 8 wherein at least about 15% of said hydrazide functional groups are converted into said bromoacetyl groups.

5 11. A process according to Claim 8 wherein at least about 40% of said hydrazide functional groups are converted into said bromoacetyl groups.

12. A process according to Claim 8 wherein the residual hydrazide functional groups are at least partially converted into carboxyl groups.

10 13. A process according to Claim 6 wherein the molecular weight exclusion limit of said polyacrylamide supports is between about 2000 and about 400,000 daltons.

14. A process according to Claim 6 wherein the molecular weight exclusion limit of said polyacrylamide supports is at least about  $2 \times 10^7$  daltons.

15 15. A process according to Claim 6 wherein at least about 95% of said thiol-derivatized oligonucleotides are attached to said polyacrylamide supports at their 5'-ends.

20 16. Process for the attachment of oligonucleotides to solid supports substantially at their 5'-ends, comprising coupling bromoacetyl-derivatized oligonucleotides to polyacrylamide supports, the amide groups of said polyacrylamide supports being at least partially converted into thiol groups prior to attachment.

25 17. A process according to Claim 16 wherein said bromoacetyl-derivatized oligonucleotides are prepared by reacting 5'-aminohexyl-phosphoramidate oligonucleotides with bromoacetic acid N-hydroxysuccinimide ester.

30 18. A process according to Claim 17 wherein the conversion of said 5'-aminohexyl-phosphoramidate oligonucleotides into said bromoacetyl-derivatized oligonucleotides is at least about 60%.

19. A process according to Claim 16 wherein the primary amide groups of said polyacrylamide supports are first modified with hydrazine the obtained hydrazide functional groups are at least partially converted into thiol groups.

20. A process according to Claim 19 wherein said conversion is carried out with N-acetylhomocysteine thiolactone.

21. A process according to Claim 19 wherein at least about 4% of said hydrazide functional groups are converted into said thiol groups.

22. A process according to Claim 19 wherein the residual hydrazide functional groups are at least partially converted into one or more other functional groups.

23. A process according to Claim 22 wherein said other functional groups are carboxyl groups.

24. A process according to Claim 22 wherein said other functional groups are trinitrophenyl groups.

25. A process according to Claim 16 wherein the molecular weight exclusion limit of said polyacrylamide supports is between about 2000 and about 400,000 daltons.

26. A process according to Claim 16 wherein said polyacrylamide supports have repeating units each of which contains three hydroxymethyl groups and one secondary amide group.

27. A process according to Claim 26 wherein said secondary amide groups are first transamidated with homobifunctional amines, the terminal amino groups of which are subsequently at least partially converted into thiol groups.

28. A process according to Claim 27 wherein the unconverted terminal amine groups are at least partially acylated to convert to carboxyl groups.

29. A process according to Claim 27 or Claim 28 wherein after coupling with said bromoacetyl-derivatized oligonucleotides, the unreacted thiol groups are at least partially haloacetylated to convert them to carboxyl groups.

5 30. A process according to Claim 26 wherein the molecular weight exclusion limit of said polyacrylamide supports is about  $2 \times 10^7$  daltons.

10 31. A process according to Claim 16 wherein at least about 95% of said bromoacetyl-derivatized oligonucleotides are attached to said thiol-polyacrylamide supports at their 5'-ends.

15 32. A process according to Claim 16 wherein said bromoacetyl-derivatized oligonucleotides are attached to said thiol-polyacrylamide supports in a sandwich format with TAS RNA transcripts.

33. A process according to Claim 32 wherein said thiol-polyacrylamide supports have an exclusion limit of at least about  $2 \times 10^7$  daltons.

20 34. Oligonucleotides derivatized at their 5'-termini with bromoacetyl groups.

35. Oligonucleotides according to Claim 34 immobilized on thiol-polyacrylamide supports.

25 36. Oligonucleotides derivatized at their 5'-termini with thiol groups immobilized on bromoacetyl-derivatized polyacrylamide supports.

1 / 6




FIG. I

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

2 / 6




FIG. 2

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

3 / 6




FIG. 3

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

4 / 6






FIG. 4

SUBSTITUTE SHEET














FIG. 5

6 / 6



2. TRIS pH 8.5



2. OLIGO-Br





FIG. 6

SUBSTITUTE SHEET

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No. **PCT/US90/00089**

<b>I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER</b> (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all) <sup>6</sup>		
According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC		
IPC(5): C12Q 1/68		
US CL.: 536/27, 28, 29; 435/6		
<b>II. FIELDS SEARCHED</b>		
Minimum Documentation Searched <sup>7</sup>		
Classification System	Classification Symbols	
U.S.	536/27, 28, 29; 435/6	
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the extent that such Documents are included in the Fields Searched <sup>8</sup>		
<b>AUTOMATED PATENT SYSTEM (APS) SEARCH</b>		
<b>III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT <sup>9</sup></b>		
Category <sup>10</sup>	Citation of Document, <sup>11</sup> with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages <sup>12</sup>	Relevant to Claim No. <sup>13</sup>
Y	WO, A 88/01302 (GINGERAS) 25 February 1988, See page 4, line 5 to page 5, lines 1-28; page 23, lines 18-22; page 25, lines 26-27; page 29, lines 16-28.	1 - 36
Y	Bio-Rad Laboratories Catalog 1981, "Affinity Chromatography", See pages 39-50.	1 - 36
Y	Journal of Biological Chemistry, Volume 245, published 1970, (CAUTRECASAS), "Protein Purification by Affinity Chromatography," see pages 3059-3065.	1 - 36
Y	Methods in Enzymology, Volume XXXIV, part B, published in 1974, (INNMAN), see pages.	1 - 36
A	Nucleic Acids Research, Volume 15, Number 13, published 1987, (LI ET AL), "Enzyme-linked synthetic oligonucleotide process: Non-radio- active detection of Enterotoxigenic <i>Escherichia</i> <i>coli</i> in Faecal Specimens", see pages 5275- 5287).	1 - 36
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p><sup>10</sup> Special categories of cited documents:</p> <p>"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance</p> <p>"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date</p> <p>"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)</p> <p>"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means</p> <p>"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed</p> </div> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p>"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention</p> <p>"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step</p> <p>"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.</p> <p>"Δ" document member of the same patent family</p> </div> </div>		
<b>IV. CERTIFICATION</b>		
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search		Date of Mailing of this International Search Report
13 March 1990		08 MAY 1990
International Searching Authority		Signature of Authorized Officer
ISA/US		 Gary L. Kunz

## FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET

A	Biochemistry, Volume 26, published 1987, (WILCHEK ET AL), "Limitations of N-Hydroxysuccinimide Esters in Affinity Chromatography and protein Immobilization", see pages 2155-2161	1 - 36
A	Analytical Biochemistry, Volume 155 published 1986, (BERNATOWICZ ET AL), "Preparation of Peptide - Protein Immunogens Using N-Succinimidyl Bromoacetate as a Heterobifunctional Crosslinking Reagent", see pages 95-102	1 - 36

V. ☐ OBSERVATIONS WHERE CERTAIN CLAIMS WERE FOUND UNSEARCHABLE

This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2) (a) for the following reasons:

1. ☐ Claim numbers \_\_\_\_\_, because they relate to subject matter <sup>12</sup> not required to be searched by this Authority, namely:
  
2. ☐ Claim numbers \_\_\_\_\_, because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comply with the prescribed requirements to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out <sup>13</sup>, specifically:
  
3. ☐ Claim numbers \_\_\_\_\_, because they are dependent claims not drafted in accordance with the second and third sentences of PCT Rule 6.4(a).

VI. ☐ OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING

This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application as follows:

1. ☐ As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers all searchable claims of the international application.
2. ☐ As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search report covers only those claims of the international application for which fees were paid, specifically claims:
3. ☐ No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international search report is restricted to the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claim numbers:
4. ☐ As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, the International Searching Authority did not invite payment of any additional fee.

## Remark on Protest

- ☐ The additional search fees were accompanied by applicant's protest.
- ☐ No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.